FREE AT LAST
Archives Independence
by Page Putnam Miller
Director, NCC
On Friday evening, October 19, President
Reagan finally signed S. 905, the bill re-
storing independence to the National Ar-
chives by separating it from the General
Services Administration. Midnight on Oc-
tober 20 was the deadline for the signing of
S. 905.

The key provision in the independence
legislation transfers authority for the care of
federal records from the GSA Administra-
tor to the Archivist. Instead of being ap-
pointed by the GSA Administrator, the
Archivist will be appointed by the President
with the Senate's consent.

The legislation states that the Archivist shall be appointed
without regard to political affiliations and
and responsibilities of the office. No term
of office is specified. During recent years
the Archivist's lack of authority over budget,
program priorities, and fulfilling person-
nel management has seriously
handicapped the National Archives in its
basic mission of acquiring, appraising, pre-
serving, and servicing the records of the
federal government.

On Monday, October 1, the Joint Sen-
ate/House Conference Committee — com-
posed of Representatives Brooks (D-TX),
English (D-OK), Horton (R-NY), and Kind-
ness (R-OH), and Senators Roth (R-DE),
Mathias (D-MD), and Eagleton (D-MO) —
met to work out differences between the
Senate and House versions of S. 905 and
H. R. 3987. Of the 24 points of difference,
22 items were resolved prior to the
meeting.

The conference thus focused on the
House amendment requiring each Federal
agency to furnish information to the Archi-
vist and the House amendment to give the
Archivist final authority to determine
whether a document falls within the defini-
tion of a "record" and to grant the Archi-
vist access to agency material in order to
make such determinations. On both issues
the majority of the conferees supported in
principle the clarification and strengthening
of the authority of the Archivist, but they
decided against including these portions for
continued on page 7

NEH SUMMER INSTITUTE
Teaching Public History
Reflections in the Cool of the Evening
by Anne C. Kaplan
Northern Illinois University
From July 5 to August 3, 30 participants from faculties around the United States gathered
in Tempe, Arizona, for a 30-day NEH Summer Institute on Teaching Public History
funded under a grant from the National Endowment for the Humanities. Participant Anne
Kaplan's observations on the Institute follow.

Robert Kelly tells us that he and Wes
Johnson spent a good portion of the spring
of 1975 ruminating in Santa Barbara on
how best to move historians "into the pub-
lic process in a major way." They con-
ed that they should begin by "training
small groups of graduate students in public
history skills, imbuing them with the idea
of a public rather than an academic career,
and sending them out, one by one, to
demonstrate their value by their work."

The result was the Graduate Program in
Public Historical Studies at the University
of California, Santa Barbara, and, though
the enterprise had larger purposes, its ob-
jectives included those of "greatly expand-
ing professional employment for
historians" and "keeping graduate study
alive [by] insuring that departments of his-
tory... continue to be places of intellec-
tual vitality." Writing about the program a
few years later, Kelley suggested that the
job crisis historians faced might in fact be
only an identity crisis. The program at
Santa Barbara was bringing "a fresh at-
mosphere and an optimistic spirit into a sit-
uation which, in recent years, had become
one of depression and loss of purpose."

As Kelley and Johnson planned, Ronald
Berman, then chair of the National En-
owment for the Humanities, was submit-
ting to the Congress his agency's estimates
of appropriations for fiscal year 1976. The
budget briefing material that supported the
Endowment's request explained to the
Congress the nature of fellowships, their
scarcity in the humanities, and the agen-
cy's experience from 1967 to 1974 with
fellowship programs that responded to in-
dividual initiative. That experience showed
"that in addition to the many scholars who
are interested in undertaking projects of
original research and writing and who need
an opportunity to explore their subjects in-
dependently, using widely scattered re-
ources, there are many other scholars,
indeed the large majority (including partic-
ularly teachers in the smaller colleges and
two-year colleges), who are primarily inter-
ested in refreshing their own learning and
improving their ability to convey humanis-
tic understanding to their students. These
teachers are better served by the opportu-
nity to meet their peers in a collegial pro-
gram enhanced by the human and
physical resources of one major institu-
tion." The "collegial" program was then
moving into its second year, and the En-
dowment was satisfied that summer semi-
nars were successful from the points of
view of the teachers, the director, and the
administering universities. They also had
secondary benefits. "Done on a large
scale," NEH argued, "this program will
have a major impact upon the quality of
teaching and standards of learning in the
small colleges."

Berman agreed. The humanities, he
wrote later, were in more than an econom-
ic depression, and "one of the by-products
of the academic depression was diffusion
of first-rate people to places which might
before this not have invested in them."

Much might be accomplished, Berman
thought, "if institutions had more lively
connection to each other; ... and if it
could be suggested that new fields of en-
continued on page 2

NCPh Secretariat
Barbara Howe at West Virginia Univer-
sity is the new NCPH Executive Secre-
tary. By action of the Executive
Committee and Board of Directors in
their April meetings, a new NCPH sec-
retariat was established (see annual re-
port, p. 3). Inquiries about the Council
and its activities may be directed to
Howe at the Department of History,
West Virginia University, Morgantown,
WV 26505.
enterprise were available at a time when imagination had run low."
That two activities with such similar origins and such mutually supportive goals should finally come together is not surprising. That they should do so in Tempe, Arizona, in a summer of three-digit temperatures, confirms the usual fate of good intentions. As a result, thirty dauntless faculty, edged out of Santa Barbara the Olympics, spent the month of July in pursuit of public history in an NEH Summer Institute at Arizona State University. All thirty were engaged in curriculum and course development, and all were provided an overview of historians in the business sector, historians in policy-setting environments in the public sector, and historians as managers and interpreters of cultural resources. Divided into three groups, participants worked as teams with Wes Johnson on community history, with Noel Stowe and Anna Nelson on the business sector and public policy, and with Michael Scarborough and Pat Melvin on cultural resource management and historical interpretation. Visiting speakers represented a range of fields for public historians (e.g., editing) and applications of public history (e.g., media) not covered in depth during the formal sessions. Tours of Tucson, Phoenix, and north-central Arizona incorporated visits to a wide range of museums, ruins, and exhibits where cultural resource management was going on for better or for worse under the watchful, or not so watchful, eye of managers who could, but didn't always, think of themselves as public historians.

The three tracks chosen for hands-on treatment in workshops designed to simulate the experiences of working public historians were, like working public historians, variously successful. CRM is a relatively tidy field with an identifiable bibliography and indisputably virtuous goals, but it does require cultural resources to manage, and here Tempe was not entirely accommodating. The business and public policy sectors are nearly all-encompassing and their virtues nothing like so apparent, but participants in that workshop were too dazed by deadlines and the jargon of deregulation to devote much energy to the ethical crises of the in-house historian. Community history is closest to fields in which some participants could claim expertise and opinions of their own. They did. Moreover, communities are elusive, particularly in Arizona in the summer, and, unlike cultural resources, their management requires prior consent.

Did participants, as NEH might have hoped, refresh their learning and improve their ability to convey humanistic understanding to their students? Probably, for whatever other purposes they serve, these seminars provide time — time to focus, time to assess a range of resources, time to accumulate an adequate bibliography, time to develop a sense of the whole. Will this program have an impact on the quality of teaching and the standards of learning in small colleges (and in the occasional large university)? Maybe, for in this field in particular, it is useful to play the student's role, to experience the rhythm of the work, the demands of the client, and the interaction of the team. Did participants go home in an optimistic spirit? They should have, for if nothing else, seminars like this convince us that, with first-rate people, imagination rarely runs low.

NOTES

Alaska and Public History
by Dale Stirling
Alaska Department of Natural Resources

Despite its small population — a little more than half a million people — the state of Alaska has a thriving contingent of public historians. Even in an era of declining oil revenues, funding for public history positions continues, and historians work in a variety of cultural resource programs. The roots of public history in Alaska date back to the early and mid-1970s, when concerns for the environment began to include concern for the protection of archaeological and historical sites. Three agencies shared responsibility for public history programs then: the Alaska Division of Parks' Office of History and Archaeology, the National Park Service, and the University of Alaska, Fairbanks, Cooperative Park Studies Unit. Together, these agencies contributed an understanding of Alaska's historic and prehistoric past. Most important, the agencies became an important part of the public domain. By 1979 public history in the north had achieved wide public involvement and acceptance. But it would take the pressing public issue of land ownership to really thrust public history into the forefront of Alaskan affairs.

In 1978 the Alaska Department of Natural Resources and the Bureau of Land Management began a debate over the ownership of submerged lands. To assist in determining ownership, both agencies hired historians to research and write historic use reports of the state's rivers, lakes, and streams. Originally two historians were hired by each agency, and by 1981 six historians were working on the issue. Navigability, overtly an environmental and lands issue, had made public history an important part of the cultural resource field in the 49th state.

Public history has succeeded in Alaska on three levels. First, the various federal and state programs have achieved much in bringing to the public's attention the rich cultural fabric of Alaska's past. Second, public history has encouraged public participation. Third, public historians have become more attuned to communicating with others in their profession, surely a most important element in furthering the cause of public history.

Where does the future of public history lie in Alaska? Research will continue into Alaska's past, especially its aboriginal peoples, and those research findings will be published. I believe that local and community history will become more important in the years to come as well. Environmental issues may continue to involve the public historian. But most crucial will be the con-

NHPRC Institute and Fellowships
The fourteenth annual Institute for Historical Editing is scheduled for June 16-28, 1985, in Madison, Wisconsin. Funded in part by the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation and sponsored by the National Historical Publications and Records Commission (NHPRC), the State Historical Society of Wisconsin, and the University of Wisconsin, the institute provides detailed theoretical and practical instruction in documentary editing. Applicants should hold a master's degree in history or American Studies. A limited number of study grants are available. For information and application forms contact the NHPRC, National Archives Building, Washington, DC 20408, (202) 724-1616. Application deadline is March 15, 1985.

Featured
Institute Speakers
Robert Pomeroy, Inter-American Development Bank; Gordon L. Olson, City of Grand Rapids; Keith Berwick, Keith Berwick Productions; Richard Kohn, United States Air Force; Lawrence Muriage, Cities Service Oil and Gas Corporation; Stanley M. Hordes, State of New Mexico; Barbara Howe, Executive Secretary, NCPH; Harold Skramstad, Edison Institute; George Smith, Winthrop Group, Inc.; Philip L. Cantelon, History Associates, Inc.; Melvin T. Smith, Utah State Historical Society.
Annual Report, 1983-84
National Council on Public History

by Barbara J. Howe,
Executive Secretary

The 1983-84 year began with our first international meeting in Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, in May 1983, introducing Canadian and U.S. public historians to their respective worlds of public history. The year ended with the first joint conference between the NCPH and the Organization of American Historians, which was held April 5-8, 1984, in Los Angeles, California. Here, we hoped to reach out to all historians to introduce them to public history as a thriving part of the historical profession. The large number of joint registrants (82 of 228 registered) indicates that we may have succeeded in part, and we will try another joint meeting with the OAH in New York City in 1986.

Programs for Educators

Services for those teaching public history, or contemplating that step, were one of the most important items on the NCPH agenda in the past year. The Institute on Teaching Public History was funded through a grant from the National Endowment for the Humanities, and G. Wesley Johnson (University of California, Santa Barbara), Noel J. Stowe (Arizona State University), Anha K. Nelson (George Washington University), Patricia Mooney Melvin (University of Arkansas, Little Rock), and Michael C. Scardaville (University of South Carolina) started many hours of meetings to plan the institute, select speakers and students, and send out publicity. The institute was scheduled for July 5 through August 3, 1984, at Arizona State University and brought together thirty faculty members from institutions across the country. The institute’s content and contacts should enrich the teaching of public history courses at the participants’ institutions in the years ahead.

The Teaching Public History Newsletter was revived this year under the editorial direction of Barbara Howe, with editorial responsibilities moving from the University of California, Santa Barbara, to West Virginia University. The first issue was published in September 1983 and sent to approximately 400 individuals who had been previous subscribers or had shown interest in public history programs through the syllabus exchange described below. A large mailing to advertise the Institute on Teaching Public History was very important in generating subscriptions. That mailing, plus distribution of leaflets at various professional meetings, produced a subscription list of about 100 people willing to pay $5 for three issues per year. Winter and spring issues were also published at WVU. The fall 1984 issue will end the first subscription year, and we will then decide whether we should keep TPHN as a separate publication or merge it with the NCPH Newsletter. (The revival of TPHN was to be on a one-year trial basis.)

In addition to the TPHN and the institute, NCPH’s syllabus exchange continued for those interested in teaching public history, and this has proved to be a popular and inexpensive project for the Council. The free exchange depends on those teaching courses submitting their syllabi, which are then photocopied and sent to anyone requesting them. Advertisements in various professional journals and flyers at meetings have generated 28 requests for syllabi in the 1983-84 year from individuals and institutions around the country and from Australia. The exchange currently includes approximately 30 syllabi for introductory courses, and classes in areas such as archival management, museum administration, historical editing, and public policy. Contributions of new syllabi are always welcome.

Finally, NCPH’s slide show, “History Goes Public,” is available for those interested in teaching public history or planning a program for history students. This 18-minute program is designed as a general introduction to public history. We still need to promote this program more — there were only three rentals and three purchases in 1983-84. However, we have received enough orders to cover the original purchase/production costs and have therefore lowered the rental fee to $35 and the purchase price to $100 to promote more use of the program; this can be done and still cover the costs of reproducing the show through West Virginia University’s Communications Office.

Thus, providing support to public history educators was one of our most visible and successful efforts in the past year. However, it can by no means be our only effort, since it ignores the many other constituencies of NCPH who are not in the classroom.

Publications

Our publications program provides one of our best member services for all members of NCPH and, through libraries, to unknown numbers of others who use our publications. First and foremost, The Craft of Public History was published in December 1983, in time for the American Historical Association’s book exhibit December 28-30. Published by Greenwood Press, this title should serve as a standard reference source for all interested in public history. NCPH extends its heartiest thanks and congratulations to David Trask and Robert Pomeroy for their untiring efforts as general editors of the book and equal thanks and congratulations to all the subeditors who worked with them on the project for so long.

The NCPH Newsletter appeared twice during the year, as a joint summer-fall issue and a winter issue, under the able direction of Noel Stowe, Beth Luey, and the students in the historical editing program at Arizona State University. The summer-fall issue featured an essay on “History’s Future: A British View” by Peter J. Beck, Principal Lecturer in International History at Kingston Polytechnic, and news about our upcoming conference in Los Angeles. Extra copies of this issue were printed for distribution at the American Historical Association meeting. The winter issue, distributed at the Organization of American Historians-NCPH meeting in April, also featured our annual meeting, along with notes on new programs and new publications of interest.

The Public Historian continued to be published as the journal of NCPH under the editorial leadership of G. Wesley Johnson and by the University of California Press. The four issues during the year included sections on research, issues and analysis, and book reviews, along with film and exhibit reviews, roundtable discussions, interviews, “new directions” articles, and review essays. Articles on historians and the American military, history and foreign policy, the promotion and tenure criteria for faculty in applied history, corporate archives, and historical novels filled the pages of the journal. The fall 1983 issue (vol. 5, no. 4) was a special issue focusing on local history, guest-edited by Dr. John A. Williams of the National Endowment for the Humanities. Through the cooperation of the University of California Press, it appears that earlier subscription problems are now under control.

As the year ended, NCPH, the University of California at Santa Barbara and the University of California Press began a series of discussions and negotiations leading to a clearer agreement among all parties involved in the publication of this journal.
fear of risking passage of the measure. Resistance to these two points had been particularly strong from the Treasury and Justice Departments.

Senator Roth implied that IRS had friends on the Finance Committee that could conceivably take steps to prevent the final passage of the Conference Report if those sections remained in the bill. Senator Roth further stressed that the Senate had understood this to be a reorganizational and not a reform bill and that they had not held hearings on those sections of the House bill.

English replied that while he was most reluctant to drop these two provisions from the bill, he would agree to do so with the understanding that the Conference Report would include the following words: "The conferees note that under current law the Archivist has substantial records inspection and determination responsibilities. The conferees fully expect all agencies of the Government to cooperate with the Archivist in his discharge of these responsibilities." This language did appear in the Conference Report. (Congressional Record, October 1, 1984, p. H 10593).

Furthermore, the Conference Committee did agree to retain the House amendment that specifies that in any case in which the head of an agency does not initiate an action at the Archivist's request for recovery of unlawfully removed records, the Archivist of the United States shall request that the Attorney General initiate such an action and shall notify Congress when such a request has been made.

English indicated that he will plan to hold hearings next year on the two measures that were dropped from the legislation and that he hopes that the act can be amended next session to include these items.

On October 3 the Senate passed the Conference Report. The House approved the measure on October 4.

The National Archives and Records Administration Act becomes effective April 1, 1985.

HAGLEY PROGRAM

Call for Applicants

The Hagley Museum and Library, in cooperation with the University of Delaware, jointly sponsor The Hagley Program in the History of Industrial America, a two-year or four-year program leading either to an M.A. or a Ph.D. degree for students interested in careers as professionals in museums and historical agencies or as college teachers. The Hagley Program's academic focus is on the social history of American industrialization. Within a wide-ranging history program, Hagley Fellows study the context and consequences of economic and technological change wrought by industrialization, including its impact on the lives of American workers.

Deadline for application is February 1. For information about the program and financial aid write: Brian Greenberg, Coordinator, The Hagley Program in the History of Industrial America, The Hagley Museum and Library, P.O. Box 3630, Wilmington, DE 19807.

ALASKA continued

tribution of public history to a broader statewide acceptance of the state's role in the development of western America. I also fervently hope that some day soon a public history program will be included in the curriculum of the statewide university system or in a private university.
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As noted with sessions concentrating on explored produced a chart entitled sessions for the held from Friday afternoon, April 6, was and Tales 146, in addition to the 82 joint the fact that both the gram focused on Synthesis," "The Community and The Project," "The History of Public History," "Career Opportunities in Public History," and the growth of separate and scattered projects of the organization.

To further centralize operations of the NCPH, the board decided to establish an executive secretariat, beginning in June 1984. Noel Stowe, Ted Karamanski, and Donna Munger formed the committee to review proposals for the secretariat during 1983-84 and recommended to the executive committee in April that the proposal from West Virginia University and Barbara Howe be accepted. That action was ratified at the April board meeting. As executive secretary, Howe will be responsible for working with NCPH committees, handling daily financial transactions, providing support services for the conferences, and coordinating major projects of NCPH, particularly a survey of public historians leading to the publication of a directory and a survey of public history programs in the U.S. The latter project will be handled in cooperation with the Curriculum and Training Committee. The secretariat's term is for three years, with annual reviews by the executive committee and board.

Ethics
One of the more controversial issues for NCPH in the past year has been the development of a code of ethics for public historians. As a result of the lively discussions on this issue at the Waterloo meeting, Ted Karamanski, Al Hurtado, and Roy Lopata formed a committee to draft a code of ethics and have it ready for review by the 1984 annual meeting. The code was presented at the joint OAH-NCPH workshop and produced one of the more interesting discussion sessions of the conference. The consensus, however, was that we were not ready to adopt this specific code of ethics — nor were we ready to drop the idea completely. Agreeing to the idea of a code of ethics, the board asked the committee to continue to study the issue.

Credits
NCPH is a volunteer organization. As such, it cannot operate without the time and talents of many of its members. At the risk of excluding someone who has contributed much to the organization, NCPH would like to offer its thanks to Larry Tise as outgoing chairperson, to Noel Stowe and Beth Luey as Newsletter editors, to G. Wesley Johnson as editor of The Public Historian and to Lindsey Reed as his able assistant, to the five faculty members of the Institute on Teaching Public History, to Phil Cantelon as executive director of NCPH, to Donna Munger for her many contributions during 1983-84, to Larry de Graaf and John Bloom for their work on the annual meeting, to Robert Pomeroy and David Trask for their work on The Craft of Public History, and to Jina Secret of the public.
Conclusion

The role of public historians has developed rapidly since the Institute on Teaching Public History ended in August. The careers of many professionals are now at the stage of organization building. The secretariat is functioning, and a newsletter and journal of which we can be proud, and we are a membership organization now. Much has been accomplished that we can view with pride. But we must look to the future and recognize that we are more than a board and an amorphous group of journal/newsletter subscribers. We must provide services to our members, such as the projected directory of public historians and guide to public history programs. We must continue to make our daily operations increasingly professional and must always be reaching out for new constituencies and to other organizations that share at least some of our interests. To do this, we will need the active involvement of many more members and the financial support of interested parties. NCPH has passed its fourth anniversary. We are coming out of the critical time period for all new organizations and need to determine our future programs and prospects based on our past experiences and a clear vision of our future needs.

Reception and Meetings

Chicago, AHA Meeting

NCPH invites members and friends to a reception, December 28, 7:30-11:00 p.m. in the Gold Coast Room, West Tower, Hyatt Regency.

The NCPH Board will meet December 29, 4:30-6:30 p.m. in the Stetson Suite, West Tower, Hyatt Regency.

The NCPH Executive Committee will meet December 27 (time to be announced) and 28 (4:45-7:00) in the Holl Suite, Hyatt Regency.

NOW AVAILABLE

FOR RESEARCHERS, SCHOLARS, AND LIBRARIANS

THE FIRST MAJOR SOURCEBOOK AND BIBLIOGRAPHY ON PUBLIC HISTORY

THE CRAFT OF PUBLIC HISTORY: An Annotated Select Bibliography

David F. Trask and Robert W. Pomeroy III, General Editors
Prepared Under the Auspices of the National Council on Public History

The Craft of Public History, an introduction and basic guide to the literature of public history, has wide applicability both inside and outside academic circles and should be read by all those interested in the application of historical methods in other areas.

Order from: Greenwood Press, 88 Post Road West, P.O. Box 5007, Westport, CT 06881. $49.95, prepaid.

THE NATIONAL COUNCIL ON PUBLIC HISTORY announces the availability of

HISTORY GOES PUBLIC

A slide-tape presentation showing opportunities in public history—ideal for classroom and seminar use.

Available in one carousel with an accompanying cassette tape. The cassette must be used with a synchronizer, either built into the slide projector or attached to it, and a tape recorder. Simple to use and inexpensive to rent or purchase.

$35 Rental, $100 Purchase

For information and rental or purchase arrangements contact: Barbara Howe, Department of History, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506

National Council on Public History

I wish to support the goals and activities of the National Council on Public History. I understand that I will receive The Public Historian and the National Council’s newsletter.

Patron $275.00  
Institutional Sponsor $125.00  
Individual Sponsor $125.00  
Institutional Member $25.00  
Individual Member $17.50  
Student Member $11.00  

Contributions to the National Council on Public History are tax deductible within the limits of the law.

Name _____________________________
Address ___________________________

Patrons and Sponsors make checks out to:  Members make out checks to:
National Council on Public History The Regents of the University of
and return to:  California
Executive Secretary University of California Press
National Council on Public History 2120 Berkeley Way
Department of History Berkeley, CA 94720
West Virginia University
Morgantown, WV 26506
Recent Publications
by Steven M. Bailey


As its subtitle suggests, History for the Public reports on the historians-in-residence program, which had its inception in 1978. But this work also includes essays written by historians attending an NEH 1983 Summer Institute on Historians, Universities, and Communities held at Cornell.

In his opening article ("Divergent Paths: Academic Historians and Public Historical Agencies"), G. David Bronberg describes the intent of the two experimental programs: to effect cooperation and collaboration between academicians and public historians. Accordingly, the report is divided into two sections, each stressing academic or public history.

The first exhibits works of historians involved in the residence program; the focus is on historical case studies and includes several New York state group and community social histories. The articles often include practical information for the working historian as well as descriptions of methodologies.

The second section, consisting of selected essays from the Summer Institute, is intended to benefit, through its emphasis on theory, both universities and public historical agencies. The articles discuss the new social history, the use of documentary materials in college classrooms, and the issue of gender as a parameter in community history.


In a roundtable discussion held at New York University's Institute for the Humanities in October 1982, more than 30 historians and filmmakers (writers, directors, producers, and photographers) discussed issues related to the rendering of history on film and videotape. Abrash and Stemburg, in their introduction, stress that the symposium "was less about solutions and more about the articulation of problems and possibilities . . ." In the four discussions that follow, the participants examine historical accuracy in film, the use of language and image, the actual production of "The Return of Martin Guerre," and the directions historical film is likely to take in this decade.


In his recent article, "Towards More Meaningful Historical Research," South African historian A.G. Oborholster suggests that historians can make their research more relevant by becoming more sensitive to contemporary issues. The author recommends that historians concentrate on neglected but vital areas of research and investigate the antecedent processes and structures of contemporary problems. Oborholster cites how the opposition of South African historians to that country's racial policies has led them to interpret the present in ways that can provide something of use to policymakers, as David F. Trask has suggested. The publication comes from the Institute for Research Development, Private Bag X270, Pretoria 0001.

CALLS FOR PAPERS
American Studies Association 1985
Martha Banta, Program Committee Chair for the tenth biennial American Studies Association Convention, to be held October 31-November 3, 1985, in San Diego is accepting proposals for individual papers, complete sessions, workshops, panels, and other professional contributions to the program. The Program Committee will review proposals that address one aspect or another of the convention's main theme — Boundaries in American Culture. Particular attention will be given to proposals falling under any of the following subthemes: The Arts (popular, high, and functional), Public Support and Public Policies, Folklore and Folklife, American Ethnicity and Gender Identities, Cross-Cultural Relations/International Perspectives, Exploding the Canons (e.g., autobiography into fiction; lost and still undiscovered forms; bridging the disciplines; ideology of publication and criticism; opening the curriculum), and Geographies and Ideologies. For format information about submitting proposals contact the American Studies Association, 337 College Hall/CO, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, (215) 898-5408, or Professor Martha Banta, Chair, American Studies Association Program Committee, Department of English, 2225 Rolfe Hall, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90024. Deadline for submitting proposals is January 15, 1985.

NCPH 1986
The National Council on Public History will be meeting jointly with the Organization of American Historians in New York City, April 9-12, 1986. The NCPH Program Committee invites submissions of complete sessions or workshops and individual papers; complete sessions will be given preference. Proposals may be on any aspect of the practice of history, substantive research, or issues of concern to the profession. Proposals should include a two-page synopsis of the issues to be addressed and their development by each participant. Sessions should include no more than five participants. Three copies of proposals and vitae for all panelists should be sent to NCPH Co-Chair Deborah S. Gardner, The Institute for Research in History, 432 Park Avenue South, New York, NY 10016. Deadline for submissions is March 1, 1985.
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